1. The Symbol, Number, or Score in the Rating Scale used to Denote the Credit Rating Categories and Notches as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(A) of Rule 17g-7

Lincoln Benefit Life Co

A.M. Best #: 006657

NAIC #: 65595

FEIN #: 47-0221457

| Financial Strength Rating | View Definition |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Rating: | A- (Excellent) |
| Affiliation Code: | |
| Financial Size Category: | XI (\$750 Million to \$1 Billion) |
| Outlook: | Stable |
| Action: | Affirmed |
| Effective Date: | July 22, 2015 |
| Initial Rating Date: | June 30, 1978 |

| Long-Term Issuer Credit Rating | View Definition |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| Long-Term: | a- |
| Outlook: | Stable |
| Action: | Affirmed |
| Effective Date: | July 22, 2015 |
| Initial Rating Date: | June 10, 2005 |

(i) Denotes Indicative Rating

u Denotes Under Review Rating

| Best's Credit Rating Analyst | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Rating Issued by: | A.M. Best Company, Inc. | |
| Senior Financial Analyst: | Anthony McSwieney | |
| Assistant Vice President: | William Pargeans | |

2. The Version of the Procedure or Methodology used to Determine the Credit Rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(B) of Rule 17g-7

| Rating Methodology and Criteria Report: | Version | |
|--|------------|--|
| The following Methodologies and Criteria Procedures were used at the time of and the basis for the proposed rating | | |
| Best's Credit Rating Methodology - Global Life and Non-Life Insurance Policy | 06/15/2015 | |
| Evaluating Non-Insurance Ultimate Parents | 02/24/2012 | |
| Risk Management and the Rating Process for Insurance Companies | 04/02/2013 | |
| Understanding BCAR for U.S. and Canadian Life/Health Insurers | 04/22/2015 | |

3. The Main Assumptions and Principles used to Construct the Procedures and Methodology used to Determine the Credit Rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(C) of Rule 17g-7

Assumptions and Macroeconomic Factors

Based on historical experience and A.M. Best's transition studies, ratings typically move no more than one to two notches when rating action occurs. However, market influences, including macroeconomic conditions, interest rate movements, inflationary levels, volatility of capital markets, pricing levels and regulatory changes, could result in larger scale movement in the ratings.

Economics

A.M. Best factors the economic and financial system conditions of a country into all of its ratings. A.M. Best defines country risk as the risk that country-specific factors could adversely affect an insurer's ability to meet its financial obligations, and separates the risks into three main categories: economic risk, political risk and financial system risk.

Economic risk is the likelihood that fundamental weaknesses in a country's economy will cause adverse developments for an insurer. A.M. Best's assessment of economic risk evaluates the state of the domestic economy, labor market conditions, inflation levels and volatility, as well as prospects for growth and stability. The level of economic risk in a country is determined to be: (1) very low; (2) low; (3) moderate; (4) high or (5) very high.

Political risk is the likelihood that governmental or bureaucratic inefficiencies, societal tensions, an inadequate legal system or international tensions will cause adverse developments for an insurer. Political risk comprises the stability of a government and society; the effectiveness of international diplomatic relationships; the reliability and integrity of the legal system and business infrastructure; the efficiency of the government bureaucracy; and the appropriateness and effectiveness of the government's economic policies. The level of political risk in a country is determined to be: (1) very low; (2) low; (3) moderate; (4) high or (5) very high.

The scores for each category of risk then are aggregated and the country is assigned to one of five Country Risk Tiers with the following broad definitions.

• CRT-1: Predictable and transparent political environment, legal system and business infrastructure; sophisticated financial system regulation with deep capital markets; mature insurance industry framework.

• CRT-2: Predictable and transparent political environment, legal system and business infrastructure; sufficient financial system regulation; mature insurance industry framework.

• CRT-3: Developing political environment, legal system and business infrastructure with developing capital markets; developing insurance regulatory structure.

• CRT-4: Relatively unpredictable and nontransparent political, legal and business environment with underdeveloped capital markets; partially to fully inadequate regulatory structure.



• CRT-5: Unpredictable and opaque political, legal and business environment with limited or nonexistent capital markets; low human development and social instability; nascent insurance industry.

In general, as country risk increases (measured by a higher assigned tier), the distribution of ratings migrates down the rating scale as the level of risk approaches CRT-5. While potential volatility already is contemplated in each Country Risk Tier, unanticipated negative changes to the economic, political and/or financial system conditions could lead to downward pressure on ratings in that country.

Correlation of Defaults = Not Applicable

4. The Potential Limitations of the Credit Rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(D) of Rule 17g-7

Please refer to Understanding Best's Credit Ratings for the following:

- I(a). Usage of Ratings and Limitations
- VIII. Best's Rating Scales: Categories and Symbols

5. Information on the Uncertainty of the Credit Rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(E) of Rule 17g-7

Please refer to Understanding Best's Credit Ratings for the following:

II(b)ii. Quality of Information

6. Use of Third Party Due Diligence in Taking the Credit Rating Action as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(F) of Rule 17g-7

A.M. Best Company did not use due diligence services of a third party in taking the rating action. This disclosure only applies to rating actions associated with asset-backed securities as defined by section 3(a)(79) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

7. How Servicer or Remittance Reports were used, and with what Frequency, to Conduct Surveillance of the Credit Rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(G) of Rule 17g-7

How Servicer / Remittance reports were used:

Not Applicable

Frequency of surveillance:

Not Applicable

8. A Description of the Types of Data about the Obligor, Issuer, Security, or Money Market Instrument that were Relied Upon for the Purpose of Determining the Credit Rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(H)(i) of Rule 17g-7

Please refer to **Understanding Best's Credit Ratings** for the following:

- II(b). Compile Information
- II(b)i. Material Sources of Information

9. An Overall Assessment of the Quality of Information Available and Considered in Determining the Credit Rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(I) of Rule 17g-7

Please refer to **Understanding Best's Credit Ratings** for the following:

- II(b)i. Material Sources of Information
- II(b)ii. Quality of Information

10. Information Relating to Conflicts of Interest as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(J) of Rule 17g-7

1) A.M. Best Company has been paid to determine the credit rating by the obligor being rated or the issue, underwriter, depositor, or sponsor of the security or money market instrument being rated.

| Rating Opinion Characteristics | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Solicited | Participating |

2) A.M. Best Company was also paid for services by the company other than determining credit ratings during the most recently ended fiscal year.

Please refer to **Understanding Best's Credit Ratings** for the following:

I(d). Not an Investment Advisor or Consultant

11. An Explanation or Measure of the Potential Volatility of the Credit Rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(K) of Rule 17g-7

Factors - Change Rating:

Negative rating action could result if material negative changes in the company's capitalization or operating performance occur. Potential Ratings Impact: Minor

NOTE: Only a rating committee can make a rating decision and the above referenced factors would be taking into consideration, along with all available relevant information in determining a rating action. However, the magnitude of a potential change in the rating that could reasonably occur as a result of the impact of the factors listed above are characterized by the following summary chart:

| Rating Change Characterization | Number of ICR Notches (Up or Down) | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Minor | 0 - 1 | |
| Moderate | 2 - 3 | |
| Severe | > 3 | |

12. The Information on the Content of the Information of the Credit Rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(L) of Rule 17g-7

(1) Rating History and Performance

• Financial Strength / Issuer Credit Rating History

(2) Expected Probability of Default and Expected Loss

The Commission states that it does not expect NRSROs to alter the meanings of their credit ratings or rating procedures and methodologies to conform to this disclosure requirement. Rather, the Commission expects NRSROs to provide "information" to the extent it is consistent with their procedures and methodologies for determining credit ratings, on the expected probability of default and expected loss in the event of default. According to the Commission, this information could consist of, for example, historical default and loss statistics, respectively, for the class or subclass of the credit rating.

A.M. Best's credit ratings generally are intended to indicate the relative degree of credit risk of an obligor or debt instrument rather than reflect a measure of a specific default probability or loss expectation. A.M. Best is providing the historical default statistics or derivatives of such default statistics to comply with Rule 17g-7(a)(ii)(L)(2). A.M. Best does not produce any loss statistics related to any classes of credit ratings so it has no information about expected loss given default. Furthermore, expected losses given default is not consistent with A.M. Best's procedures and methodologies for determining credit ratings.

INSURANCE COMPANY DEFAULT RATES (FSR)

* Default Rates Based On Average Cohort Method

| FSR | 1 - Year | 3 - Year | 10 - Year |
|-----|----------|----------|-----------|
| A++ | | | 0.03% |
| A+ | 0.05% | 0.20% | 0.68% |
| А | 0.05% | 0.34% | 2.54% |
| A- | 0.10% | 0.77% | 4.01% |
| B++ | 0.39% | 1.75% | 5.90% |
| B+ | 0.79% | 2.42% | 7.76% |
| В | 1.74% | 4.70% | 10.97% |
| В- | 3.61% | 7.41% | 15.83% |
| C++ | 4.03% | 7.41% | 16.05% |
| C+ | 3.60% | 7.36% | 16.30% |
| С | 3.71% | 8.55% | 19.70% |
| C- | 12.80% | 16.67% | 19.28% |
| D | 18.09% | 25.70% | 34.62% |

* Ratings Data from 1992 to 2014

1. Impairments as a Proxy for Defaults. A.M. Best designates an insurer as a Financially Impaired Company (FIC) as of the first action taken by an insurance department or regulatory body, whereby the insurer's a) ability to conduct normal insurance operations is adversely affected, b) capital and surplus have been deemed inadequate to meet regulatory requirements, or c) general financial condition has triggered regulatory concern. The actions include supervision, rehabilitation, liquidation, receivership, conservatorship, cease-and-desist orders, suspension, license revocation and certain administrative orders. Companies that enter voluntary dissolution and are not under financial duress at that time are not counted as financially impaired. For the purposes of the performance statistics, A.M. Best considers impairments and defaults to be synonymous.

2. Construction of Default Rates of Insurance Carriers (FSR). This table was constructed by directly observing the default rates of insurance operating companies rated on the traditional Financial Strength Rating (FSR) scale.

<u>3. Rating a Measure of Relative Credit Risk.</u> A.M. Best's credit ratings generally are intended to indicate the relative degree of credit risk of an obligor or debt instrument rather than reflect a measure of specific default probability.

4. Data Consistent With Submission to the Securities and Exchange Commission. The data used to calculate default rates in this table is consistent with the data used to calculate the 1-year, 3-year and 10-year default rates for insurers as required by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Commission) in Exhibit 1 of Form NRSRO. Unlike the single cohort method of analysis used in the submission to the Commission, however, the calculations in this table reflect the use of the average cohort approach in order to capture the effect of the various economic cycles experienced by insurance carriers since 1992 when the current 13-point FSR scale (A++ to D) was adopted by A.M. Best.

5. Data Does Not Necessarily Reflect Default Expectations. The data in this table does not necessarily reflect our default rate expectations. For example, the fact that we show no default rate for the 1-year "A++" category does not mean that we expect no defaults for this rating. It only means that we have not yet observed any historical defaults over a 1-year period for companies rated "A++".

<u>6. Data Changes Periodically.</u> The data in the table will change if A.M. Best: 1) adds more ratings cohorts over time in order to calculate default rates, 2) changes its criteria for recognizing insurance company defaults, and 3) changes the default count for other reasons such as confidential supervision of insurance carriers discovered by A.M. Best. In most cases, A.M. Best will revise the default rates annually by March 31.

INSURANCE COMPANY DEFAULT RATES (ICR)

| * Default Rates Based On FSR to ICR Tra | Translation |
|---|--------------------|
|---|--------------------|

| ICRs | 1 - Year | 3 - Year | 10 - Year |
|------|----------|----------|-----------|
| ааа | | | 0.03% |
| aa+ | | | 0.03% |
| аа | 0.05% | 0.20% | 0.68% |
| aa- | 0.05% | 0.20% | 0.68% |
| a+ | 0.05% | 0.34% | 2.54% |
| а | 0.05% | 0.34% | 2.54% |
| a- | 0.10% | 0.77% | 4.01% |
| bbb+ | 0.39% | 1.75% | 5.90% |
| bbb | 0.39% | 1.75% | 5.90% |
| bbb- | 0.79% | 2.42% | 7.76% |
| bb+ | 1.74% | 4.70% | 10.97% |
| bb | 1.74% | 4.70% | 10.97% |
| bb- | 3.61% | 7.41% | 15.83% |
| b+ | 4.03% | 7.41% | 16.05% |

| ICRs | 1 - Year | 3 - Year | 10 - Year |
|------|----------|----------|-----------|
| b | 4.03% | 7.41% | 16.05% |
| b- | 3.60% | 7.36% | 16.30% |
| ccc+ | 3.17% | 8.55% | 19.70% |
| ссс | 3.17% | 8.55% | 19.70% |
| ccc- | 12.80% | 16.67% | 19.28% |
| сс | 12.80% | 16.67% | 19.28% |
| С | 18.09% | 25.70% | 34.62% |

* See ICR/FSR Translation Table

<u>1. Impairments as a Proxy for Defaults.</u> A.M. Best designates an insurer as a Financially Impaired Company (FIC) as of the first action taken by an insurance department or regulatory body, whereby the insurer's a) ability to conduct normal insurance operations is adversely affected, b) capital and surplus have been deemed inadequate to meet regulatory requirements, or c) general financial condition has triggered regulatory concern. The actions include supervision, rehabilitation, liquidation, receivership, conservatorship, cease-and-desist orders, suspension, license revocation and certain administrative orders. Companies that enter voluntary dissolution and are not under financial duress at that time are not counted as financially impaired. For the purposes of the performance statistics, A.M. Best considers impairments and defaults to be synonymous.

2. Construction of Default Rates of Insurance Carriers(ICR). This table was not constructed by directly observing the default rates of insurance operating companies rated on the 21-point Issuer Credit Rating(ICR) scale because default data associated with ICRs is sparse. It was constructed by converting each operating company Financial Strength Rating (FSR) to an equivalent ICR based on an FSR/ICR translation table.

<u>3. Rating a Measure of Relative Credit Risk.</u> A.M. Best's credit ratings generally are intended to indicate the relative degree of credit risk of an obligor or debt instrument rather than reflect a measure of specific default probability.

<u>4. Data Does Not Necessarily Reflect Default Expectations.</u> The data in this table does not necessarily reflect our default rate expectations. For example, the fact that we show no default rate for the 1-year "aaa" category does not mean that we expect no defaults for this rating. It only means that we have not yet observed any historical defaults over a 1-year period for companies with an equivalent "aaa" rating.</u>

5. Data Changes Periodically. The data in the table will change if A.M. Best: 1) adds more ratings cohorts over time in order to calculate default rates, 2) changes its criteria for recognizing insurance company defaults, and 3) changes the default count for other reasons such as confidential supervision of insurance carriers discovered by A.M. Best. In most cases, A.M. Best will revise the default rates annually by March 31.

CORPORATES DEFAULT RATES

* Default Rates Based On Notching Applied To Insuance ICRs

| ICRs | 1 - Year | 3 - Year | 10 - Year |
|------|----------|----------|-----------|
| aaa | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.03% |
| aa+ | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.03% |
| аа | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.03% |
| aa- | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.03% |
| а+ | 0.03% | 0.10% | 0.36% |
| а | 0.05% | 0.20% | 0.68% |
| a- | 0.05% | 0.20% | 0.68% |
| bbb+ | 0.05% | 0.34% | 2.54% |
| bbb | 0.05% | 0.34% | 2.54% |

| ICRs | 1 - Year | 3 - Year | 10 - Year |
|------|----------|----------|-----------|
| bbb- | 0.10% | 0.77% | 4.01% |
| bb+ | 0.39% | 1.75% | 5.90% |
| bb | 0.39% | 1.75% | 5.90% |
| bb- | 0.79% | 2.42% | 7.76% |
| b+ | 1.27% | 3.56% | 9.36% |
| b | 1.74% | 4.70% | 10.97% |
| b- | 1.74% | 4.70% | 10.97% |
| ccc+ | 3.61% | 7.41% | 15.83% |
| ссс | 3.82% | 7.41% | 15.94% |
| ccc- | 4.03% | 7.41% | 16.05% |
| сс | 4.03% | 7.41% | 16.05% |
| С | 3.60% | 7.36% | 16.30% |

<u>1. Impairments as a Proxy for Defaults.</u> A.M. Best designates an insurer as a Financially Impaired Company (FIC) as of the first action taken by an insurance department or regulatory body, whereby the insurer's a) ability to conduct normal insurance operations is adversely affected, b) capital and surplus have been deemed inadequate to meet regulatory requirements, or c) general financial condition has triggered regulatory concern. The actions include supervision, rehabilitation, liquidation, receivership, conservatorship, cease-and-desist orders, suspension, license revocation and certain administrative orders. Companies that enter voluntary dissolution and are not under financial duress at that time are not counted as financially impaired. For the purposes of the performance statistics, A.M. Best considers impairments and defaults to be synonymous.

2. Construction of Default Rates of Corporates. This table was not constructed by directly observing the default rates of corporate ratings on the 21-point Issuer Credit Rating (ICR) scale because default data associated with corporate ICRs is sparse. Rather, this table was constructed by a) converting each default rate associated with each Financial Strength Rating (FSR) to its equivalent operating company ICR based on the FSR/ICR translation table, and then, b) converting the operating company ICR to an equivalent corporate rating based on a notching algorithm set forth in the criteria, Insurance Holding Company and Debt Ratings, to reflect the legal separation of insurance holding company policyholders.

<u>3. Ratings a Measure of Relative Credit Risk.</u> A.M. Best's credit ratings generally are intended to indicate the relative degree of credit risk of an obligor or debt instrument rather than reflect a measure of specific default probability.

<u>4. Data Does Not Necessarily Reflect Default Expectations.</u> The data in this table does not necessarily reflect our default rate expectations. For example, the fact that we show no default rate for the 1-year "aaa" category does not mean that we expect no defaults for this rating. It only means that we have not yet observed any historical defaults over a 1-year period for corporate obligations with an equivalent "aaa" rating.

5. Data Changes Periodically. The data in the table will change if A.M. Best: 1) adds more ratings cohorts over time in order to calculate default rates, 2) changes its criteria for recognizing insurance company defaults, and 3) changes the default count for other reasons such as confidential supervision of insurance carriers discovered by A.M. Best. In most cases, A.M. Best will revise the default rates annually by March 31.

ASSET-BACKED SECURITIES DEFAULT RATES (All Subclasses)

* Default Rates From Best's Idealized Default Matrix

| ICRs | 1 - Year | 3 - Year | 10 - Year |
|------|----------|----------|-----------|
| ааа | 0.03% | 0.14% | 0.94% |
| aa+ | 0.03% | 0.20% | 1.36% |

| ICRs | 1 - Year | 3 - Year | 10 - Year |
|------|----------|----------|-----------|
| аа | 0.04% | 0.26% | 1.79% |
| aa- | 0.05% | 0.42% | 2.13% |
| a+ | 0.06% | 0.58% | 2.48% |
| а | 0.11% | 0.76% | 3.03% |
| a- | 0.16% | 0.95% | 3.58% |
| bbb+ | 0.21% | 1.13% | 4.13% |
| bbb | 0.23% | 1.25% | 4.58% |
| bbb- | 0.27% | 1.51% | 5.76% |
| bb+ | 0.67% | 3.18% | 10.18% |
| bb | 1.09% | 4.75% | 15.16% |
| bb- | 2.09% | 7.37% | 21.77% |
| b+ | 2.47% | 8.84% | 25.70% |
| b | 2.88% | 12.42% | 35.97% |
| b- | 3.97% | 13.40% | 38.21% |
| ccc+ | 5.53% | 16.26% | 43.71% |
| ссс | 6.41% | 18.29% | 47.90% |
| CCC- | 7.55% | 21.09% | 54.18% |
| сс | 8.83% | 24.44% | 60.89% |
| с | 10.10% | 27.79% | 67.60% |

* Best's Idealized Default Matrix published December 2007

<u>1. Asset-Backed Securities Default.</u> A.M. Best designates an asset-backed security as being in default if the issuer of the security failed to timely pay principal or interest due according to the terms of the security.

2. Construction of Default Rates of Asset-Backed Securities. This table was not constructed by directly observing the default rates of asset-backed securities. The default rates of the ratings in this category are equivalent to the default rates in Best's Idealized Default Matrix published in December 2007. This matrix is based on A.M. Best long-term view of defaults of asset-backed securities and structured finance transactions. This view was informed by the defaults associated with insurance carriers rated by A.M. Best, the assumed corporate default rates derived from those insurance carrier defaults, and the need to make distinctions between rating categories.

3. Ratings a Measure of Relative Credit Risk. A.M. Best's credit ratings generally are intended to indicate the relative degree of credit risk of and obligor or debt instrument rather than reflect a measure of specific default probability.

<u>4. Data Changes Periodically.</u> The data in the table will change if A.M. Best modifies Best's Idealized Default Matrix. This matrix was published in December 2007 but may be revised based on more recent insurance company default data.

13. Information on the Sensitivity of the Credit Rating to Assumptions as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(M) of Rule 17g-7

| Торіс | (1) Key Assumption Detail | (2) Potential Impact on the Rating |
|-------|---|---|
| , | While public information is considered as part of the rating process, AMB relies primarily on | A material misstatement of financial performance or capital position, whether through |



| Торіс | (1) Key Assumption Detail | (2) Potential Impact on the Rating |
|---------------------------|--|---|
| | audited financial statements, third party actuarial reports, documents and assertions provided by management. The assumption is that the financial information provided is reliable and credible, although AMB does not undertake to verify the accuracy of this information. | negligence or fraud, could negatively affect a company's rating. For example, inflated asset valuations or a faulty actuarial opinion of reserve adequacy could result in an incorrect assessment of the financial strength of a company. |
| Interest rates | Assumption is for normalized yield curve with no +/- changes of 300 basis points in a 12 month period. | Deviation could result in rating changes especially for companies with large interest sensitive blocks of business. Spike in interest rates may cause significant disintermediation and large unrealized losses on fixed income portfolio. However, surrender charges on liabilities and intent to hold assets to maturity are mitigating factors. Prolonged low interest rates impact spreads and hedging costs and potentially impact credit ratings. |
| Investment Impairments | Investment impairments at or below the historical average. | Significant downgrades in credit quality of fixed income portfolios, realized losses through impairments of invested assets increases required capital and reduces net income and capital/surplus. Rating action may occur. |
| Liquidity | Capital markets are open and operating normally without disruption. | Any sudden changes in liquidity, due to reinsurance disputes / insolvencies, uncollectible agents balances, parental needs, etc, which could force an involuntary sale of investments and realized capital losses, with a potential impact on the rating. |
| Mortality Risk | No significant mortality event is assumed and assumptions about mortality are consistent with a normalized death rate (i.e. non pandemic scenario, no significant extension of life through scientific breakthrough). Assumes no significant level of anti-selection. | BCAR Stress test undertaken. Mortality experience significantly exceeds priced for expectations resulting in higher than expected claim payments. For life segments, a significant portion of gross profits is derived from a mortality margin. Significant mortality event may have secondary economic impacts as well. Deviation could result in rating change for companies with large biometric exposure. Significant improvement in mortality would have a negative impact on pension and other longevity linked liabilities. |

14. Information on the Representations, Warranties, and Enforcement Mechanisms as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(N) of Rule 17g-7

The representations, warranties, and enforcement mechanisms available to investors which were disclosed in the prospectus, private placement memorandum, or other offering documents for the asset-backed security and that relate to an asset pool underlying the asset-backed security. This disclosure only applies to rating actions associated with asset-backed securities as defined by section 3(a)(79) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Furthermore, the disclosure applies to asset-backed securities that were initially rated on or after September 26, 2011.

15. Attestation as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(iii) of Rule 17g-7

Attestation

Attached is a signed statement by a person within A.M. Best that has responsibility for the rating action and, to the best knowledge of the person: (A) No part of the credit rating was influenced by any other business activities; (B) The credit rating was based solely upon the merits of the obligor, security, or money market instrument being rated; and (C) The credit rating was an independent evaluation of the credit risk of the obligor, security, or money market instrument.

As the person responsible for this rating action, which was determined through the rating committee process, to the best of my knowledge:

- No part of the rating was influenced by any other business activities;
- The credit rating was based solely upon the merits of the obligor being rated; (will say security if applicable) and

• The credit rating was an independent evaluation of the risks and merits of the obligor (will say security if applicable).

Note: Best's Credit Ratings are a product/service of A.M. Best Inc. and not of any particular individual group or committee within A.M. Best.

Name, Title: /s/ Kenneth A. Frino, GVP

© AM Best Company (AMB) and/or its licensors and affiliates. All rights reserved. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY COPYRIGHT LAW AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT AMB'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by AMB from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. Under no circumstances shall AMB have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of AMB or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if AMB is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The credit ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities, insurance policies, contracts or any other financial obligations, nor does it address the suitability of any particular financial obligation for a specific purpose or purchaser. Credit risk is the risk that an entity may not meet its contractual, financial obligations as they come due. Credit ratings do not address any other risk, including but not limited to, liquidity risk, market value risk or price volatility of rated securities. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY AMB IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER. Each credit rating or other opinion must be weighed solely as one factor in any investment or purchasing decision made by or on behalf of any user of the information contained herein, and each such user must accordingly make its own study and evaluation of each security or other financial obligation that it may consider purchasing, holding or selling.